Chapter 3: Transport Layer

Our goals:

- understand principles behind transport layer services:
  - multiplexing/demultiplexing
  - reliable data transfer
  - flow control
  - congestion control
- learn about transport layer protocols in the Internet:
  - UDP: connectionless transport
  - TCP: connection-oriented transport
  - TCP congestion control

Chapter 3 outline

- 3.1 Transport-layer services
- 3.2 Multiplexing and demultiplexing
- 3.3 Connectionless transport: UDP
- 3.4 Principles of reliable data transfer
- 3.5 Connection-oriented transport: TCP
  - segment structure
  - reliable data transfer
  - flow control
  - connection management
- 3.6 Principles of congestion control
- 3.7 TCP congestion control

Transport services and protocols

- provide *logical communication* between app processes running on different hosts
- transport protocols run in end systems
  - send side: breaks app messages into segments, passes to network layer
  - rcv side: reassembles segments into messages, passes to app layer
- more than one transport protocol available to apps
  - Internet: TCP and UDP



Transport vs. network layer

- *network layer:* logical communication between hosts
- *transport layer:* logical communication between processes
  - relies on, enhances, network layer services

Household analogy:

12 kids sending letters to 12 kids

- processes = kids
- app messages = letters in envelopes
- hosts = houses
- transport protocol = Ann and Bill
- network-layer protocol = postal service

Internet transport-layer protocols

- reliable, in-order delivery (TCP)
  - congestion control
  - flow control
  - connection setup
- unreliable, unordered delivery: UDP
  - no-frills extension of "best-effort" IP
- services not available:
  - delay guarantees
  - bandwidth guarantees



Chapter 3 outline

- 3.1 Transport-layer services
- 3.2 Multiplexing and demultiplexing
- 3.3 Connectionless transport: UDP
- 3.4 Principles of reliable data transfer
- 3.5 Connection-oriented transport: TCP
  - segment structure
  - reliable data transfer
  - flow control
  - connection management
- 3.6 Principles of congestion control
- 3.7 TCP congestion control

Multiplexing/demultiplexing

Demultiplexing at rcv host:
delivering received segments to correct socket

Multiplexing at send host:
gathering data from multiple sockets, enveloping data with header (later used for demultiplexing)



How demultiplexing works

- host receives IP datagrams
  - each datagram has source IP address, destination IP address
  - each datagram carries 1 transport-layer segment
  - each segment has source, destination port number (recall: well-known port numbers for specific applications)
- host uses IP addresses & port numbers to direct segment to appropriate socket



TCP/UDP segment format

Connectionless demultiplexing

- Create sockets with port numbers:

```
DatagramSocket mySocket1 = new
   DatagramSocket(99111);
DatagramSocket mySocket2 = new
   DatagramSocket(99222);
```

- UDP socket identified by two-tuple:

(dest IP address, dest port number)

- When host receives UDP segment:
  - checks destination port number in segment
  - directs UDP segment to socket with that port number
- IP datagrams with different source IP addresses and/or source port numbers directed to same socket

Connectionless demux (cont)

```
DatagramSocket serverSocket = new DatagramSocket(6428);
```



SP provides "return address"

Connection-oriented demux

- TCP socket identified by 4-tuple:
  - source IP address
  - source port number
  - dest IP address
  - dest port number
- recv host uses all four values to direct segment to appropriate socket
- Server host may support many simultaneous TCP sockets:
  - each socket identified by its own 4-tuple
- Web servers have different sockets for each connecting client
  - non-persistent HTTP will have different socket for each request

Connection-oriented demux (cont)



Chapter 3 outline

UDP: User Datagram Protocol [RFC 768]

- "no frills," "bare bones" Internet transport protocol
- "best effort" service, UDP segments may be:
  - lost
  - delivered out of order to app
- *connectionless:*
  - no handshaking between UDP sender, receiver
  - each UDP segment handled independently of others

Why is there a UDP?

- no connection establishment (which can add delay)
- simple: no connection state at sender, receiver
- small segment header
- no congestion control: UDP can blast away as fast as desired

UDP: more

- often used for streaming multimedia apps
  - loss tolerant
  - rate sensitive
- other UDP uses
  - DNS
  - SNMP
- reliable transfer over UDP: add reliability at application layer
  - application-specific error recovery!



UDP segment format

UDP checksum

Goal: detect "errors" (e.g., flipped bits) in transmitted segment

Sender:

- treat segment contents as sequence of 16-bit integers
- checksum: addition (1's complement sum) of segment contents
- sender puts checksum value into UDP checksum field

Receiver:

- compute checksum of received segment
- check if computed checksum equals checksum field value:
  - NO - error detected
  - YES - no error detected. *But maybe errors nonetheless?* More later ....

Chapter 3 outline

- 3.1 Transport-layer services
- 3.2 Multiplexing and demultiplexing
- 3.3 Connectionless transport: UDP
- 3.4 Principles of reliable data transfer
- 3.5 Connection-oriented transport: TCP
  - segment structure
  - reliable data transfer
  - flow control
  - connection management
- 3.6 Principles of congestion control
- 3.7 TCP congestion control

Principles of Reliable data transfer

- important in app., transport, link layers
- top-10 list of important networking topics!



(a) provided service (b) service implementation

- characteristics of unreliable channel will determine complexity of reliable data transfer protocol (rdt)

Reliable data transfer: getting started



Reliable data transfer: getting started

We'll:

- incrementally develop sender, receiver sides of reliable data transfer protocol (rdt)
- consider only unidirectional data transfer
  - but control info will flow on both directions!
- use finite state machines (FSM) to specify sender, receiver



Rdt1.0: reliable transfer over a reliable channel

- underlying channel perfectly reliable
  - no bit errors
  - no loss of packets
- separate FSMs for sender, receiver:
  - sender sends data into underlying channel
  - receiver read data from underlying channel



Rdt2.0: channel with bit errors

- underlying channel may flip bits in packet
  - recall: UDP checksum to detect bit errors
- *the* question: how to recover from errors:
  - *acknowledgements (ACKs):* receiver explicitly tells sender that pkt received OK
  - *negative acknowledgements (NAKs):* receiver explicitly tells sender that pkt had errors
  - sender retransmits pkt on receipt of NAK
  - human scenarios using ACKs, NAKs?
- new mechanisms in `rdt2.0` (beyond `rdt1.0`):
  - error detection
  - receiver feedback: control msgs (ACK,NAK) rcvr->sender

rdt2.0: FSM specification



rdt2.0: operation with no errors



rdt2.0: error scenario



rdt2.0 has a fatal flaw!

What happens if ACK/NAK corrupted?

- sender doesn't know what happened at receiver!
- can't just retransmit: possible duplicate

What to do?

- sender ACKs/NAKs receiver's ACK/NAK? What if sender ACK/NAK also garbled?
- retransmit, but this might cause retransmission of correctly received pkt!

Handling duplicates:

- sender adds *sequence number* to each pkt
- sender retransmits current pkt if ACK/NAK garbled
- receiver discards (doesn't deliver up) duplicate pkt

> **stop and wait**
> Sender sends one packet, then waits for receiver response

rdt2.1: sender, handles garbled ACK/NAKs



rdt2.1: receiver, handles garbled ACK/NAKs



rdt2.1: discussion

Sender:
- seq # added to pkt
- two seq. #'s (0,1) will suffice. Why?
- must check if received ACK/NAK corrupted
- twice as many states
  - state must "remember" whether "current" pkt has 0 or 1 seq. #

Receiver:
- must check if received packet is duplicate
  - state indicates whether 0 or 1 is expected pkt seq #
- note: receiver can *not* know if its last ACK/NAK received OK at sender

rdt2.2: a NAK-free protocol

- same functionality as rdt2.1, using ACKs only
- instead of NAK, receiver sends ACK for last pkt received OK
  - receiver must *explicitly* include seq # of pkt being ACKed
- duplicate ACK at sender results in same action as NAK: *retransmit current pkt*

rdt2.2: sender, receiver fragments



rdt3.0: channels with errors *and* loss

New assumption: underlying channel can also lose packets (data or ACKs)
- checksum, seq. #, ACKs, retransmissions will be of help, but not enough

Q: how to deal with loss?
- sender waits until certain data or ACK lost, then retransmits
- yuck: drawbacks?

Approach: sender waits "reasonable" amount of time for ACK
- retransmits if no ACK received in this time
- if pkt (or ACK) just delayed (not lost):
  - retransmission will be duplicate, but use of seq. #'s already handles this
  - receiver must specify seq # of pkt being ACKed
- requires countdown timer

rdt3.0 sender



rdt3.0 in action



(a) operation with no loss

(b) lost packet

rdt3.0 in action



(c) lost ACK

(d) premature timeout

Performance of rdt3.0

- rdt3.0 works, but performance stinks
- example: 1 Gbps link, 15 ms e-e prop. delay, 1KB packet:

$$T_{transmit} = \frac{L \text{ (packet length in bits)}}{R \text{ (transmission rate, bps)}} = \frac{8\text{kb/pkt}}{10^{**}9 \text{ b/sec}} = 8 \text{ microsec}$$

$$U_{sender} = \frac{L / R}{RTT + L / R} = \frac{.008}{30.008} = 0.00027$$

  - U_{sender}: utilization – fraction of time sender busy sending
  - 1KB pkt every 30 msec -> 33kB/sec thruput over 1 Gbps link
  - network protocol limits use of physical resources!

rdt3.0: stop-and-wait operation



$$U_{sender} = \frac{L / R}{RTT + L / R} = \frac{.008}{30.008} = 0.00027$$

Pipelined protocols

Pipelining: sender allows multiple, "in-flight", yet-to-be-acknowledged pkts

- range of sequence numbers must be increased
- buffering at sender and/or receiver



(a) a stop-and-wait protocol in operation (b) a pipelined protocol in operation

- Two generic forms of pipelined protocols: *go-Back-N, selective repeat*

Pipelining: increased utilization



Increase utilization by a factor of 3!

$$U_{sender} = \frac{3 * L / R}{RTT + L / R} = \frac{.024}{30.008} = 0.0008$$

Go-Back-N

Sender:

- k-bit seq # in pkt header
- "window" of up to N, consecutive unack'ed pkts allowed

- ACK(n): ACKs all pkts up to, including seq # n - "cumulative ACK"
  - may receive duplicate ACKs (see receiver)
- timer for each in-flight pkt
- *timeout(n):* retransmit pkt n and all higher seq # pkts in window

GBN: sender extended FSM



GBN: receiver extended FSM



ACK-only: always send ACK for correctly-received pkt with highest *in-order* seq #
- may generate duplicate ACKs
- need only remember **expectedseqnum**

- out-of-order pkt:
  - discard (don't buffer) -> no receiver buffering!
  - Re-ACK pkt with highest in-order seq #

GBN in action



Selective Repeat

- receiver *individually* acknowledges all correctly received pkts
  - buffers pkts, as needed, for eventual in-order delivery to upper layer
- sender only resends pkts for which ACK not received
  - sender timer for each unACKed pkt
- sender window
  - N consecutive seq #'s
  - again limits seq #s of sent, unACKed pkts

Selective repeat: sender, receiver windows



Selective repeat

sender

data from above :
- if next available seq # in window, send pkt

timeout(n):
- resend pkt n, restart timer

ACK(n) in [sendbase,sendbase+N]:
- mark pkt n as received
- if n smallest unACKed pkt, advance window base to next unACKed seq #

receiver

pkt n in [rcvbase, rcvbase+N-1]
- send ACK(n)
- out-of-order: buffer
- in-order: deliver (also deliver buffered, in-order pkts), advance window to next not-yet-received pkt

pkt n in [rcvbase-N,rcvbase-1]
- ACK(n)

otherwise:
- ignore

Selective repeat in action



Selective repeat: dilemma

Example:
- seq #'s: 0, 1, 2, 3
- window size=3

- receiver sees no difference in two scenarios!
- incorrectly passes duplicate data as new in (a)

Q: what relationship between seq # size and window size?



Chapter 3 outline

- 3.1 Transport-layer services
- 3.2 Multiplexing and demultiplexing
- 3.3 Connectionless transport: UDP
- 3.4 Principles of reliable data transfer
- 3.5 Connection-oriented transport: TCP
  - segment structure
  - reliable data transfer
  - flow control
  - connection management
- 3.6 Principles of congestion control
- 3.7 TCP congestion control

TCP: Overview

RFCs: 793, 1122, 1323, 2018, 2581

- point-to-point:
  - one sender, one receiver
- reliable, in-order *byte steam:*
  - no "message boundaries"
- pipelined:
  - TCP congestion and flow control set window size
- *send & receive buffers*



- full duplex data:
  - bi-directional data flow in same connection
  - MSS: maximum segment size
- connection-oriented:
  - handshaking (exchange of control msgs) init's sender, receiver state before data exchange
- flow controlled:
  - sender will not overwhelm receiver

TCP segment structure



TCP seq. #'s and ACKs

Seq. #'s:

- byte stream "number" of first byte in segment's data

ACKs:

- **seq #** of next byte expected from other side
- cumulative ACK

Q: how receiver handles out-of-order segments

- A: TCP spec doesn't say, - up to implementor



simple telnet scenario

TCP Round Trip Time and Timeout

Q: how to set TCP timeout value?

- longer than RTT
  - but RTT varies
- too short: premature timeout
  - unnecessary retransmissions
- too long: slow reaction to segment loss

Q: how to estimate RTT?

- **SampleRTT**: measured time from segment transmission until ACK receipt
  - ignore retransmissions
- **SampleRTT** will vary, want estimated RTT "smoother"
  - average several recent measurements, not just current **SampleRTT**

TCP Round Trip Time and Timeout

EstimatedRTT = (1- α)*EstimatedRTT + α*SampleRTT

- Exponential weighted moving average
- influence of past sample decreases exponentially fast
- typical value: α = 0.125

Example RTT estimation:



TCP Round Trip Time and Timeout

Setting the timeout

- **EstimtedRTT** plus "safety margin"
  - large variation in **EstimatedRTT ->** larger safety margin
- first estimate of how much SampleRTT deviates from EstimatedRTT:

```
DevRTT = (1-β)*DevRTT +
             β*|SampleRTT-EstimatedRTT|

(typically, β = 0.25)
```

Then set timeout interval:

TimeoutInterval = EstimatedRTT + 4*DevRTT

Chapter 3 outline

- 3.1 Transport-layer services
- 3.2 Multiplexing and demultiplexing
- 3.3 Connectionless transport: UDP
- 3.4 Principles of reliable data transfer
- 3.5 Connection-oriented transport: TCP
  - segment structure
  - reliable data transfer
  - flow control
  - connection management
- 3.6 Principles of congestion control
- 3.7 TCP congestion control

TCP reliable data transfer

- TCP creates rdt service on top of IP's unreliable service
- Pipelined segments
- Cumulative acks
- TCP uses single retransmission timer
- Retransmissions are triggered by:
  - timeout events
  - duplicate acks
- Initially consider simplified TCP sender:
  - ignore duplicate acks
  - ignore flow control, congestion control

TCP sender events:

data rcvd from app:

- Create segment with seq #
- seq # is byte-stream number of first data byte in segment
- start timer if not already running (think of timer as for oldest unacked segment)
- expiration interval: `TimeOutInterval`

timeout:

- retransmit segment that caused timeout
- restart timer

Ack rcvd:

- If acknowledges previously unacked segments
  - update what is known to be acked
  - start timer if there are outstanding segments

TCP sender (simplified)

```
NextSeqNum = InitialSeqNum
SendBase = InitialSeqNum

loop (forever) {
  switch(event)

  event: data received from application above
      create TCP segment with sequence number NextSeqNum
      if (timer currently not running)
          start timer
      pass segment to IP
      NextSeqNum = NextSeqNum + length(data)

  event: timer timeout
      retransmit not-yet-acknowledged segment with
            smallest sequence number
      start timer

  event: ACK received, with ACK field value of y
      if (y > SendBase) {
          SendBase = y
          if (there are currently not-yet-acknowledged segments)
                start timer
          }

} /* end of loop forever */
```

Comment:

- SendBase-1: last cumulatively ack'ed byte

Example:

- SendBase-1 = 71; y= 73, so the rcvr wants 73+ ; y > SendBase, so that new data is acked

TCP: retransmission scenarios



lost ACK scenario



premature timeout

TCP retransmission scenarios (more)



Cumulative ACK scenario

TCP ACK generation [RFC 1122, RFC 2581]

Event at Receiver	TCP Receiver action
Arrival of in-order segment with expected seq #. All data up to expected seq # already ACKed	Delayed ACK. Wait up to 500ms for next segment. If no next segment, send ACK
Arrival of in-order segment with expected seq #. One other segment has ACK pending	Immediately send single cumulative ACK, ACKing both in-order segments
Arrival of out-of-order segment higher-than-expect seq. # . Gap detected	Immediately send **duplicate ACK**, indicating seq. # of next expected byte
Arrival of segment that partially or completely fills gap	Immediate send **ACK**, provided that segment starts at lower end of gap

Fast Retransmit

- Time-out period often relatively long:
  - long delay before resending lost packet
- Detect lost segments via duplicate ACKs.
  - Sender often sends many segments back-to-back
  - If segment is lost, there will likely be many duplicate ACKs.
- If sender receives 3 ACKs for the same data, it supposes that segment after ACKed data was lost:
  - fast retransmit: resend segment before timer expires

Fast retransmit algorithm:

```
event: ACK received, with ACK field value of y
          if (y > SendBase) {
              SendBase = y
              if (there are currently not-yet-acknowledged segments)
                  start timer
            }
          else {
               increment count of dup ACKs received for y
               if (count of dup ACKs received for y = 3) {
                   resend segment with sequence number y
               }
```

a duplicate ACK for already ACKed segment

fast retransmit

Chapter 3 outline

- 3.1 Transport-layer services
- 3.2 Multiplexing and demultiplexing
- 3.3 Connectionless transport: UDP
- 3.4 Principles of reliable data transfer
- 3.5 Connection-oriented transport: TCP
  - segment structure
  - reliable data transfer
  - flow control
  - connection management
- 3.6 Principles of congestion control
- 3.7 TCP congestion control

TCP Flow Control

- receive side of TCP connection has a receive buffer:



- app process may be slow at reading from buffer

flow control

sender won't overflow receiver's buffer by transmitting too much, too fast

- speed-matching service: matching the send rate to the receiving app's drain rate

TCP Flow control: how it works



(Suppose TCP receiver discards out-of-order segments)

- spare room in buffer

= `RcvWindow`

= `RcvBuffer-[LastByteRcvd - LastByteRead]`

- Rcvr advertises spare room by including value of **`RcvWindow`** in segments
- Sender limits unACKed data to **`RcvWindow`**
  - guarantees receive buffer doesn't overflow

Chapter 3 outline

- 3.1 Transport-layer services
- 3.2 Multiplexing and demultiplexing
- 3.3 Connectionless transport: UDP
- 3.4 Principles of reliable data transfer
- 3.5 Connection-oriented transport: TCP
  - segment structure
  - reliable data transfer
  - flow control
  - connection management
- 3.6 Principles of congestion control
- 3.7 TCP congestion control

TCP Connection Management

Recall: TCP sender, receiver establish "connection" before exchanging data segments

- initialize TCP variables:
  - seq. #s
  - buffers, flow control info (e.g. **RcvWindow**)
- *client:* connection initiator

```
Socket clientSocket = new
Socket("hostname","port
number");
```

- *server:* contacted by client

```
Socket connectionSocket =
welcomeSocket.accept();
```

Three way handshake:

Step 1: client host sends TCP SYN segment to server
- specifies initial seq #
- no data

Step 2: server host receives SYN, replies with SYNACK segment
- server allocates buffers
- specifies server initial seq. #

Step 3: client receives SYNACK, replies with ACK segment, which may contain data

TCP Connection Management (cont.)

Closing a connection:

client closes socket:
clientSocket.close();

Step 1: client end system sends TCP FIN control segment to server

Step 2: server receives FIN, replies with ACK. Closes connection, sends FIN.



TCP Connection Management (cont.)

Step 3: client receives FIN, replies with ACK.
- Enters "timed wait" - will respond with ACK to received FINs

Step 4: server, receives ACK. Connection closed.

Note: with small modification, can handle simultaneous FINs.



TCP Connection Management (cont)



Chapter 3 outline

- 3.1 Transport-layer services
- 3.2 Multiplexing and demultiplexing
- 3.3 Connectionless transport: UDP
- 3.4 Principles of reliable data transfer
- 3.5 Connection-oriented transport: TCP
  - segment structure
  - reliable data transfer
  - flow control
  - connection management
- 3.6 Principles of congestion control
- 3.7 TCP congestion control

Principles of Congestion Control

Congestion:

- informally: "too many sources sending too much data too fast for *network* to handle"
- different from flow control!
- manifestations:
  - lost packets (buffer overflow at routers)
  - long delays (queueing in router buffers)
- a top-10 problem!

Causes/costs of congestion: scenario 1

- two senders, two receivers
- one router, infinite buffers
- no retransmission







- large delays when congested
- maximum achievable throughput

Causes/costs of congestion: scenario 2

- one router, *finite* buffers
- sender retransmission of lost packet



Causes/costs of congestion: scenario 2

- always: $\lambda_{in} = \lambda_{out}$ (goodput)
- “perfect” retransmission only when loss: $\lambda'_{in} > \lambda_{out}$
- retransmission of delayed (not lost) packet makes λ'_{in} larger (than perfect case) for same λ_{out}



“costs” of congestion:

- more work (retrans) for given “goodput”
- unneeded retransmissions: link carries multiple copies of pkt

Causes/costs of congestion: scenario 3

- four senders
- multihop paths
- timeout/retransmit

Q: what happens as λ_{in} and λ'_{in} increase ?



Causes/costs of congestion: scenario 3





Another “cost” of congestion:

- when packet dropped, any “upstream transmission capacity used for that packet was wasted!

Approaches towards congestion control

Two broad approaches towards congestion control:

End-end congestion control:

- no explicit feedback from network
- congestion inferred from end-system observed loss, delay
- approach taken by TCP

Network-assisted congestion control:

- routers provide feedback to end systems
  - single bit indicating congestion (SNA, DECbit, TCP/IP ECN, ATM)
  - explicit rate sender should send at

Chapter 3 outline

- 3.1 Transport-layer services
- 3.2 Multiplexing and demultiplexing
- 3.3 Connectionless transport: UDP
- 3.4 Principles of reliable data transfer
- 3.5 Connection-oriented transport: TCP
  - segment structure
  - reliable data transfer
  - flow control
  - connection management
- 3.6 Principles of congestion control
- 3.7 TCP congestion control

TCP Congestion Control

- end-end control (no network assistance)
- sender limits transmission:
  `LastByteSent-LastByteAcked` $\leq$ `CongWin`
- Roughly,

$$\boxed{\text{rate} = \frac{\text{CongWin}}{\text{RTT}} \text{ Bytes/sec}}$$

- **CongWin** is dynamic, function of perceived network congestion

How does sender perceive congestion?

- loss event = timeout *or* 3 duplicate acks
- TCP sender reduces rate (**CongWin**) after loss event

three mechanisms:

- AIMD
- slow start
- conservative after timeout events

TCP AIMD

multiplicative decrease: cut **CongWin** in half after loss event

additive increase: increase **CongWin** by 1 MSS every RTT in the absence of loss events: *probing*



Long-lived TCP connection

TCP Slow Start

- When connection begins, **CongWin** = 1 MSS
  - Example: MSS = 500 bytes & RTT = 200 msec
  - initial rate = 20 kbps
- available bandwidth may be >> MSS/RTT
  - desirable to quickly ramp up to respectable rate
- When connection begins, increase rate exponentially fast until first loss event

TCP Slow Start (more)

- When connection begins, increase rate exponentially until first loss event:
  - double **CongWin** every RTT
  - done by incrementing **CongWin** for every ACK received
- Summary: initial rate is slow but ramps up exponentially fast



Refinement

- After 3 dup ACKs:
  - **CongWin** is cut in half
  - window then grows linearly
- But after timeout event:
  - **CongWin** instead set to 1 MSS;
  - window then grows exponentially
  - to a threshold, then grows linearly

Philosophy:

• 3 dup ACKs indicates network capable of delivering some segments
• timeout before 3 dup ACKs is "more alarming"

Refinement (more)

Q: When should the exponential increase switch to linear?

A: When **CongWin** gets to 1/2 of its value before timeout.



Implementation:

- Variable Threshold
- At loss event, Threshold is set to 1/2 of CongWin just before loss event

Summary: TCP Congestion Control

- When **CongWin** is below **Threshold**, sender in slow-start phase, window grows exponentially.
- When **CongWin** is above **Threshold**, sender is in congestion-avoidance phase, window grows linearly.
- When a triple duplicate ACK occurs, **Threshold** set to **CongWin/2** and **CongWin** set to **Threshold**.
- When timeout occurs, **Threshold** set to **CongWin/2** and **CongWin** is set to 1 MSS.

TCP Fairness

Fairness goal: if K TCP sessions share same bottleneck link of bandwidth R, each should have average rate of R/K



Why is TCP fair?

Two competing sessions:
- Additive increase gives slope of 1, as throughout increases
- multiplicative decrease decreases throughput proportionally



Fairness (more)

Fairness and UDP

- Multimedia apps often do not use TCP
  - do not want rate throttled by congestion control
- Instead use UDP:
  - pump audio/video at constant rate, tolerate packet loss
- Research area: TCP friendly

Fairness and parallel TCP connections

- nothing prevents app from opening parallel cnctions between 2 hosts.
- Web browsers do this
- Example: link of rate R supporting 9 cnctions;
  - new app asks for 1 TCP, gets rate R/10
  - new app asks for 11 TCPs, gets R/2 !

Delay modeling

Q: How long does it take to receive an object from a Web server after sending a request?

Ignoring congestion, delay is influenced by:
- TCP connection establishment
- data transmission delay
- slow start

Notation, assumptions:
- Assume one link between client and server of **rate R**
- **S: MSS (bits)**
- **O: object size (bits)**
- no retransmissions (no loss, no corruption)

Window size:
- First assume: fixed congestion window, **W segments**
- Then dynamic window, modeling slow start

Fixed congestion window (1)

First case:

WS/R > RTT + S/R: ACK fo first segment in window returns before window's worth of data sent

delay = 2RTT + O/R



Fixed congestion window (2)

Second case:
- WS/R < RTT + S/R: wait for ACK after sending window's worth of data sent

delay = 2RTT + O/R + (K-1)[S/R + RTT - WS/R]



K is the number of windows that cover the object.

TCP Delay Modeling: Slow Start (1)

Now suppose window grows according to slow start

Will show that the delay for one object is:

$$Latency = 2RTT + \frac{O}{R} + P\left[RTT + \frac{S}{R}\right] - (2^P - 1)\frac{S}{R}$$

where *P* is the number of times TCP idles at server:

$$P = \min\{Q, K-1\}$$

- where Q is the number of times the server idles **if the object were of infinite size.**

- and K is the number of windows that cover the object.

TCP Delay Modeling: Slow Start (2)

Delay components:
- 2 RTT for connection estab and request
- O/R to transmit object
- time server idles due to slow start

Server idles:
P = min{K-1,Q} times

Example:
- O/S = 15 segments
- K = 4 windows
- Q = 2
- P = min{K-1,Q} = 2

Server idles P=2 times



TCP Delay Modeling (3)

$$\frac{S}{R} + RTT = \text{time from when server starts to send segment until server receives acknowledgement}$$

$$2^{k-1}\frac{S}{R} = \text{time to transmit the kth window}$$

$$\left[\frac{S}{R} + RTT - 2^{k-1}\frac{S}{R}\right]^{+} = \text{idle time after the } k\text{th window}$$

where $[x]^+ = \max(x,0)$

$$\begin{aligned}\text{delay} &= \frac{O}{R} + 2RTT + \sum_{p=1}^{P} idleTime_p \\ &= \frac{O}{R} + 2RTT + \sum_{k=1}^{P}\left[\frac{S}{R} + RTT - 2^{k-1}\frac{S}{R}\right] \\ &= \frac{O}{R} + 2RTT + P\left[RTT + \frac{S}{R}\right] - (2^{P}-1)\frac{S}{R}\end{aligned}$$



TCP Delay Modeling (4)

Recall K = number of windows that cover object

How do we calculate K ?

$$\begin{aligned}K &= \min\{k : 2^0 S + 2^1 S + \cdots + 2^{k-1} S \geq O\} \\ &= \min\{k : 2^0 + 2^1 + \cdots + 2^{k-1} \geq O/S\} \\ &= \min\{k : 2^k - 1 \geq \frac{O}{S}\} \\ &= \min\{k : k \geq \log_2(\frac{O}{S}+1)\} \\ &= \left\lceil \log_2(\frac{O}{S}+1) \right\rceil\end{aligned}$$

Calculation of Q, number of idles for infinite-size object, is similar (see HW).

Chapter 3: Summary

- principles behind transport layer services:
  - multiplexing, demultiplexing
  - reliable data transfer
  - flow control
  - congestion control
- instantiation and implementation in the Internet
  - UDP
  - TCP

Next:
- leaving the network "edge" (application, transport layers)
- into the network "core"