

Number theory

Elementary number theory and its applications, 2ed, K. Rosen. Addison-Wesley, 1988.

Definition Let m be a positive integer. If a and b are integers, we say that a is congruent to b modulo m if $m \mid (a-b)$, denoted by $a \equiv b \pmod{m}$.

Definition. The integers a and b are called *relatively prime* if a and b have greatest common divisor $(a,b) = 1$.

Definition . Let n be a positive integer. *The Euler phi-function* $\phi(n)$ is defined to be the number of positive integers not exceeding n which are relatively prime to n .

n	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
$\phi(n)$	1	1	2	2	4	2	6	4	6	4	10	4

Euler's theorem. If m is a positive integer and a is an integer with $(a,m) = 1$, then $a^{\phi(n)} \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$.

Definition. Let a and m be relatively prime positive integers. Then the least positive integer x such that $a^x \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$ is called *the order of a modulo m* denoted by $\text{ord}_m a$.

Example Find the order of 2 modulo 7.

$$2^1 = 2 \pmod{7}, 2^2 = 4 \pmod{7}, 2^3 = 1 \pmod{7}.$$

Therefore $\text{ord}_7 2 = 3$.

Definition . If r and n are relatively prime integers with $n > 0$ and if $\text{ord}_n r = \phi(n)$, then r is called a *primitive root modulo n* .

Theorem 1 If $\text{ord}_m a = t$ and if u is a positive integer, then

$$\text{ord}_m (a^u) = t / (t, u)$$

Corollary 1 Let r be a primitive root modulo m where m is an integer $m > 1$. Then r^u is a primitive root modulo m if and only if $(u, \phi(m)) = 1$.

Proof By Theorem 1 we know that

$$\text{ord}_m r^u = \text{ord}_m r / (u, \text{ord}_m r) = \phi(m) / (u, \phi(m)).$$

Consequently, $\text{ord}_m r^u = \phi(m)$, and r^u is a primitive root modulo m , if and only if $(u, \phi(m)) = 1$.

Pseudo – Random Numbers

- Middle square method

John von Neumann (invent game theory, first computer, atomic bomb)

6139

37687321

6873

- Linear congruential method

$$x_{n+1} \equiv ax_n + c \pmod{m}, \quad 0 \leq x_{n+1} < m$$

$m > 0, 2 \leq a \leq m, 0 \leq c \leq m, 0 \leq x_0 \leq m.$

Theorem The terms of the sequence generated by the linear congruential method are given by

$$x_k \equiv a^k x_0 + c(a^k - 1) / (a - 1) \pmod{m}, \quad 0 \leq x_k < m.$$

Proof by mathematical induction. for $k = 1$, the formula is obviously true, since $x_1 \equiv ax_0 + c \pmod{m}, 0 \leq x_1 < m.$ Assume that the formula is valid for the k th term, so that

$$x_k \equiv a^k x_0 + c(a^k - 1) / (a - 1) \pmod{m}, 0 \leq x_k < m.$$

since

$$x_{k+1} \equiv a x_k + c \pmod{m}, 0 \leq x_{k+1} < m.$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} x_{k+1} &\equiv a(a^k x_0 + c(a^k - 1)/(a-1)) + c \\ &\equiv a^{k+1} x_0 + c(a(a^k - 1)/(a-1) + 1) \\ &\equiv a^{k+1} x_0 + c(a^{k+1} - 1)/(a-1) \pmod{m} \end{aligned}$$

which is the correct formula for the $(k+1)$ th term. This demonstrates that the formula is correct for all positive integers k .

The period length of a linear congruential pseudo-random number generator is the maximum length of the sequence obtained without repetition.

Theorem The linear congruential generator produces a sequence of period length m if and only if $(c, m) = 1$, $a \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ for all primes p dividing m , and $a \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ if $4 \mid m$.

For the proof see D. E. Knuth, "The art of computer programming" vol 2, "seminumerical algorithms", 2nd ed Addison Wesley, 1981. pp. 9-20.

A special case where $c = 0$ is called *multiplicative congruential method*.

$$x_{n+1} \equiv a x_n \pmod{m}, 0 < x_{n+1} < m.$$

or

$$x_n \equiv a^n x_0 \pmod{m}, \quad 0 < x_{n+1} < m.$$

For many applications, the generator is used with the modulus m equal to the Mersenne prime $M_{31} = 2^{31} - 1$. When the modulus m is prime, the maximum period length is $m - 1$, and this is obtained when a is a primitive root of m . To find a primitive root of M_{31} that can be used with the good results, we first demonstrate that 7 is a primitive root of M_{31}

Theorem The integer 7 is a primitive root of $M_{31} = 2^{31} - 1$.

Proof To show that 7 is a primitive root of M_{31} , it is sufficient to show that

$$7^{(M_{31}-1)/q} \not\equiv 1 \pmod{M_{31}}$$

for all prime divisors q of $M_{31} - 1$. With this information we can conclude that $\text{ord}_{M_{31}} 7 = M_{31} - 1$. To find factorization of $M_{31} - 1$, we note that

$$\begin{aligned} M_{31} - 1 &= 2^{31} - 2 = 2(2^{30} - 1) = 2(2^{15} - 1)(2^{15} + 1) \\ &= 2(2^5 - 1)(2^{10} + 2^5 + 1)(2^5 + 1)(2^{10} - 2^5 + 1) \\ &= 2 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 7 \cdot 11 \cdot 31 \cdot 151 \cdot 331 \end{aligned}$$

if we show that

$$7^{(M_{31}-1)/q} \not\equiv 1 \pmod{M_{31}}$$

for $q = 2, 3, 7, 11, 31, 151, 331$, then we know that 7 is a primitive root of $M_{31} = 2147483647$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} 7^{(M_{31}-1)/2} &\equiv 2147483546 \not\equiv 1 \pmod{M_{31}} \\ 7^{(M_{31}-1)/3} &\equiv 1513477735 \not\equiv 1 \pmod{M_{31}} \\ 7^{(M_{31}-1)/7} &\equiv 120536285 \not\equiv 1 \pmod{M_{31}} \\ 7^{(M_{31}-1)/11} &\equiv 1969212174 \not\equiv 1 \pmod{M_{31}} \\ 7^{(M_{31}-1)/31} &\equiv 512 \not\equiv 1 \pmod{M_{31}} \\ 7^{(M_{31}-1)/151} &\equiv 535044134 \not\equiv 1 \pmod{M_{31}} \\ 7^{(M_{31}-1)/331} &\equiv 1761885083 \not\equiv 1 \pmod{M_{31}} \end{aligned}$$

we see that 7 is a primitive root of M_{31} .

In practice we do not want to use the primitive root 7 as the generator, since the first few integers generated are small. We find a larger primitive root using Corollary 1. We take a power of 7 where the exponent is relatively prime to $M_{31} - 1$. For instance, since $(5, M_{31}) = 1$, Corollary 1 tells us that $7^5 = 16807$ is also a primitive root. Since $(13, M_{31} - 1) = 1$, another possibility is to use $7^{13} \equiv 252246292 \pmod{M_{31}}$ as the multiplier.

Choice of modulus

Let w be the computer's word size, or 2^e on an e -bit binary computer. Use $m = w \pm 1$.

Why not $m = w$?

When $m = w$ the right-hand digits of x_n are much less random than the left-hand digits. If d is a divisor of m , and if

$$y_n = x_n \pmod{d}$$

we can easily show that

$$y_{n+1} = (a y_n + c) \pmod{d}$$

for $x_{n+1} = a x_n + c - qm$ for some integer q , and taking both sides mod d cause the quantity qm to drop out when d is a factor of m .

This shows that the low-order form a congruential sequence that has a period of length d or less.

Other methods

Linear congruential method can be generalized to, say, a quadratic congruential method

$$x_{n+1} = (d x_n^2 + a x_n + c) \pmod{m}$$

additive number generator (Mitchell and Moore 1958)

$$x_n = (x_{n-24} + x_{n-55}) \bmod m \quad n \geq 55$$

the least significant bits “ $x_n \bmod 2$ ” have a period of length $2^{55} - 1$. Therefore the generator must have a period at least this long.

Chi-square test

We can say how probable or improbable certain types of events are.

The difference between observed Y_s and expected np_s

$$V = (Y_2 - np_2)^2 + (Y_3 - np_3)^2 + \dots + (Y_{12} - np_{12})^2$$

What is the probability what V is this high using true dice?

Suppose that every observation can fall into one of k categories. We take n *independent* observations. Let p_s be the probability that each observation falls into category s , and let Y_s be the number of observations that actually do fall into category s .

Weighted by the prob. of occurrence np_s

$$V = \sum_{1 \leq s \leq k} \frac{(Y_s - np_s)^2}{np_s}$$

Expanding $(Y_s - np_s)^2 = Y_s^2 - 2np_s Y_s + n^2 p_s^2$ and
 $Y_1 + Y_2 + \dots + Y_k = n$
 $p_1 + p_2 + \dots + p_k = 1$

$$V = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{1 \leq s \leq k} \left(\frac{Y_s^2}{p_s} \right) - n$$

$v = k - 1$ the number of degree of freedom is $k - 1$.

Chi-square distribution table says “The quantity V will be less than or equal to x with approximate probability p , if n is large enough”.

How large should n be? Rule of thumb is $np_s \geq 5$

Range of V	Indication
0-1 %, 99-100 %	Reject X
1-5 %, 95-99 %	Suspect ?
5-10 %, 90-95 %	Almost reject +

Example five Chi-square test on three data of four generators.

B			C			D			F	
		+							X	X
			+						?	X
?						+	+		X	X
+				X	+				X	X
									X	X

B : $x_0 = 0, a = 3141592653, c = 2718281829, m = 2^{35}$

C : $x_0 = 0, a = 2^7 + 1, c = 1, m = 2^{35}$

D : $x_0 = 47194118, a = 23, c = 0, m = 10^8 + 1$

F : $x_0 = 314159265, a = 2^{18} + 1, c = 1, m = 2^{35}$

Conclusion, B and D are satisfactory, C is on the borderline, F is unsatisfactory.