

- + Java Standard Edition
- + Java Enterprise Edition

+ Java Micro Edition

Development Tools

Application Management Data Access Tools Gaming Tools Web Development Frameworks Security & Testing Java Application Servers Profiling and Monitoring Reporting

SITE RESOURCES

Featured Articles News & Views JW Blogs Forums Podcasts Newsletters Whitepapers RSS Feeds

CAREERS

PARTNER SITES

Demo.com LinuxWorld.com NetworkWorld.com

ABOUT US

JavaWorld.com > Java Development Tools >

Reveal the magic behind subtype polymorphism Behold polymorphism from a type-oriented point of view

By Wm. Paul Rogers, JavaWorld.com, 04/13/01

Page 3 of 7

At first glance, the above List abstraction may seem to be the utility of the class java.util.List. However, Java does not support true parametric polymorphism in a type-safe manner, which is why java.util.List and java.util's other collection classes are written in terms of the primordial Java class, java.lang.object. (See my article "A <u>Primordial Interface?</u>" for more details.) Java's single-rooted implementation inheritance offers a partial solution, but not the true power of parametric polymorphism. Eric Allen's excellent article, "<u>Behold the Power of Parametric Polymorphism</u>," describes the need for generic types in Java and the proposals to address Sun's Java Specification Request #000014, "Add Generic Types to the Java Programming Language." (See <u>Resources</u> for a link.)

Inclusion

Inclusion polymorphism achieves polymorphic behavior through an inclusion relation between types or sets of values. For many objectoriented languages, including Java, the inclusion relation is a subtype relation. So in Java, inclusion polymorphism is subtype polymorphism.

As noted earlier, when Java developers generically refer to polymorphism, they invariably mean subtype polymorphism. Gaining a solid appreciation of subtype polymorphism's power requires viewing the mechanisms yielding polymorphic behavior from a type-oriented perspective. The rest of this article examines that perspective closely. For brevity and clarity, I use the term polymorphism to mean subtype polymorphism.

Type-oriented view

The UML class diagram in Figure 1 shows the simple type and class hierarchy used to illustrate the mechanics of polymorphism. The model depicts five types, four classes, and one interface. Although the model is called a class diagram, I think of it as a type diagram. As detailed in "Thanks Type and Gentle Class," every Java class and interface declares a user-defined data type. So from an implementation-independent view (i.e., a type-oriented view) each of the five rectangles in the figure represents a type. From an implementation point of view, four of those types are defined using class constructs, and one is defined using an interface.



Best of JavaWorld Editor's Choice

Sun's mistake

"During the early days of Java I had lunch with one of the developers of the language and asked him how they planned on making money on Java. He explained that Sun made most of the web servers on the internet and anything that got people using the internet

Network World IT Buyer's Guides

- ▶ 70+ Categories
- Hundreds of products



GREAT Decision! VISIT NOW

FEATURED WHITE PAPERS

Enterprise AJAX - Transcend the Hype

Memory Analysis in Eclipse

Oracle Compatibility Developer's Guide

Momony Analysis in Folinso

NEWSLETTER SIGN-UP

Sign up for our technology specific newsletters.

Enterprise Java
View all newsletters

Email Address:

SPONSORED LINKS

Free DB Modeling Trial with ER/Studio Design and Build More Powerful Databases with ER/Studio. www.embarcadero.com

Buy a Link Now

Subscribe

Figure 1. UML class diagram for the example code

The following code defines and implements each user-defined data type. I purposely keep the implementation as simple as

possible:

```
/* Base.java */
public class Base
 public String m1()
 {
  return "Base.m1()";
 }
 public String m2( String s )
 {
  return "Base.m2( " + s + " )";
 }
1
/* IType.java */
interface IType
 String m2( String s );
 String m3();
/* Derived.java */
public class Derived
 extends Base
 implements IType
 public String m1()
 {
  return "Derived.m1()";
 }
 public String m3()
 {
  return "Derived.m3()";
 }
1
/* Derived2.java */
public class Derived2
 extends Derived
 public String m2( String s )
 {
  return "Derived2.m2( " + s + " )";
 }
 public String m4()
 {
  return "Derived2.m4()";
 }
/* Separate.java */
public class Separate
 implements IType
{
 public String m1()
 {
  return "Separate.m1()";
 }
 public String m2( String s )
 {
  return "Separate.m2( " + s + " )";
 }
 public String m3()
 {
  return "Separate.m3()";
 }
```

Using these type declarations and class definitions, Figure 2 depicts a conceptual view of the Java statement:

Derived2 derived2 = new Derived2();



Figure 2. Derived2 reference attached to Derived2 object

The above statement declares an explicitly typed reference variable, derived2, and attaches that reference to a newly created Derived2 class object. The top panel in Figure 2 depicts the Derived2 reference as a set of portholes, through which the underlying Derived2 object can be viewed. There is one hole for each Derived2 type operation. The actual Derived2 object maps each Derived2 operation to appropriate implementation code, as prescribed by the implementation hierarchy defined in the above code. For example, the Derived2 object maps m1() to implementation code defined in class Derived. Furthermore, that implementation code overrides the m1() method in class Base. A Derived2 reference variable cannot access the overridden m1() implementation in class Base. That does not mean that the actual implementation code in class Derived can't use the Base class implementation via super.m1(). But as far as the reference variable derived2 is concerned, that code is inaccessible. The mappings of the other Derived2 operations similarly show the implementation code executed for each type operation.

Now that you have a Derived2 object, you can reference it with any variable that conforms to type Derived2. The type hierarchy in Figure 1's UML diagram reveals that Derived, Base, and IType are all super types of Derived2. So, for example, a Base reference can be attached to the object. Figure 3 depicts the conceptual view of the following Java statement:

Base base = derived2;



Figure 3. Base reference attached to Derived2 object

There is absolutely no change to the underlying Derived2 object or any of the operation mappings, though methods $m_3()$ and $m_4()$ are no longer accessible through the Base reference. Calling $m_1()$ or $m_2(string)$ using either variable derived2 or base results in execution of the same implementation code:

String tmp; // Derived2 reference (Figure 2) tmp = derived2.m1(); // tmp is "Derived.m1()" tmp = derived2.m2("Hello"); // tmp is "Derived2.m2(Hello)" // Base reference (Figure 3) tmp = base.m1(); // tmp is "Derived.m1()" tmp = base.m2("Hello"); // tmp is "Derived2.m2(Hello)"

Realizing identical behavior through both references makes sense because the Derived2 object does not know what calls each method. The object only knows that when called upon, it follows the marching orders defined by the implementation hierarchy. Those orders stipulate that for method ml(), the Derived2 object executes the code in class Derived, and for method m2(string), it executes the code in class Derived2. The action performed by the underlying object does not depend on the reference variable's type.



Related Article

Resources

"On Understanding Types, Data Abstraction, and Polymorphism," Luca Cardelli and Peter Wegner from *Computing Surveys*, (December, 1985) -- an academic treatise of three important object-oriented concepts http://research.microsoft.com/Users/luca/Papers/OnUnderstanding.pdf

"Behold the Power of Parametric Polymorphism," Eric Allen (*JavaWorld*, February 2000) -- an excellent overview of the need for introducing generic types to the Java language <u>http://www.javaworld.com/jw-02-2000/jw-02-jsr.html</u>

"Add Generic Types to the Java Programming Language," (Java Community Process Program, JSR #000014) -- the Java Specification Request regarding extending the Java language to incorporate parametric polymorphism http://java.sun.com/aboutJava/communityprocess/jsr/jsr_014_gener.html

Read more from Wm. Paul Rogers:

"Thanks Type and Gentle Class" (JavaWorld, January 19, 2001) explores the importance of separating the objectoriented concepts of type and class.

"<u>A Primordial Interface</u>?" (*JavaWorld*, March 9, 2001) uses a type-oriented perspective to explore the implicit existence of a primordial interface in Java.

Wm. Paul Rogers comoderates the **Java Beginner** discussion. Ask him your beginner-level questions here <u>http://www.itworld.com/jump/jw-0413-polymorph/forums.itworld.com/webx?230@@.ee6b804!skip=2899</u>

Sign up for the *JavaWorld This Week* free weekly email newsletter and keep up with what's new at *JavaWorld* <u>http://www.idg.net/jw-subscribe</u>

Browse JavaWorld's Topical Index http://www.javaworld.com/javaworld/topicalindex/jw-ti-index.html

RESEARCH CENTERS: Java Standard Edition | Java Enterprise Edition | Java Micro Edition | Development Tools

About Us | Advertise | Contact Us | Terms of Service/Privacy

Copyright, 2006-2008 Network World, Inc. All rights reserved.

 IDG Network:
 CIO
 Computerworld
 CSO
 Demo
 GamePro
 Games.net
 IDGconnect.com
 IDG World Expo
 Infoworld
 Linuxworld.com
 MacUser

 Macworld
 NetworkWorld.com
 PC World
 Playlistmag.com
 NetworkWorld.com
 NetworkWorld.com